



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/688,879	10/21/2003	Tsuyoshi Kindo	2003_1497A	2775
52349	7590	07/20/2007	EXAMINER	
WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK L.L.P.			AMRANY, ADI	
2033 K. STREET, NW				
SUITE 800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20006			2836	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/20/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

65

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/688,879	KINDO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Adi Amrany	2836

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 27 June 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:

- a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
 b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

NOTICE OF APPEAL

2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

AMENDMENTS

3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because
- (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
 - (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
 - (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
 - (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: _____. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).

4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).

5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____.

6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).

7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: _____.

Claim(s) objected to: _____.

Claim(s) rejected: 13-17.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____.

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).

9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
See Continuation Sheet.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). _____.

13. Other: See Continuation Sheet.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 1) The Amano auxiliary battery (2) is a dedicated battery for low-voltage loads. As stated in the Final Rejection (April 17, 2007), it would be obvious to one skilled in the art to duplicate the Amano auxiliary battery for each load ("dedicated"), including the claimed vehicle-installed apparatus. The auxiliary battery is only connected to the main battery so that it can be recharged.

2) Kirkhart discloses a battery control section. One skilled in the art would recognize that combining Kirkhart and Amano would require the battery control section to control both the main and auxiliary batteries or, alternatively, a separate battery control section for each battery.

3) When the Kirkhart unlocking/locking detection section is detecting the door of the vehicle is unlocked (col. 4, lines 15-30), Kirkhart exits the low-power mode and boots up said vehicle-installed apparatus by sending a warm-up signal (lines 31-38). This warm up signal ("initial boot-up") is necessary to transition between the low power and full power modes (col. 5, lines 32-42, namely 39-42). When the vehicle-installed apparatus is already in full power mode, and the engine is started after a delay (less than 30-90 seconds), the apparatus does not require an initial boot-up, since this procedure was already completed when the vehicle detected the approaching driver (col. 5, lines 52-62). It would be obvious to one skilled in the art that if the Kirkhart hard drive is already spinning (col. 5, lines 26-32), then an additional warm-up signal is not necessary. One skilled in the art would recognize that Kirkhart, in view of Amano, disclose that the power needed to transition from low-power to full-power is supplied by the auxiliary battery.

Continuation of 13. Other: Claim 13 is objected to because it recites that the secondary battery control section supplies power to boot-up the vehicle installed apparatus only when the apparatus "cannot be booted up unless an intial boot-up is performed." It is unclear how supplying power to boot up the apparatus is effective if the apparatus cannot be booted up in the first place. It appears that the first recitation of "booting up" (line 12) should be changed to "initial boot-up".

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "M. Sherry" followed by the date "7/18/07".

MICHAEL SHERRY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800